May 30, 2025

INSIDER REPORT

A publication of *The New American*

Edited by William F. Jasper

Inside this issue:

- **Senator Ron Johnson Speaks Out Against Bloated "Big Beautiful Bill" and Globalist Schemes**
- **China: CCP Meltdown Over Trump's "Golden Dome"**
- **Dead Beloved Cat Exposes China's Litter Box of Lies**
- **Trump: "What the Hell Happened to Putin?" Answer: He's Communist, KGB**
- **KKR Spokesman Petraeus to the Globalist's Rescue in Ukraine**
- **KKR, Petraeus, and Communist China**
- **Milei Strikes Again: Argentina Withdraws From WHO**
- **WHO Pandemic Treaty and the "Masterplan for Humanity" of the UN's 2030 Agenda**

Senator Ron Johnson Speaks Out Against Bloated "Big Beautiful Bill" and Globalist Schemes

In a candid half-hour long interview with *The New American*: *Unrestricted*, Senator Ron Johnson (R-Wis.) laid out a sobering case against the federal government's unsustainable fiscal trajectory, criticizing Trump's "One Big Beautiful Bill." Speaking with host Christian Gomez, Johnson delivered a detailed indictment of the bill's excessive spending, lack of real reform, and the process that he called "sloppy" and "devoid of substance."

From the outset, Johnson made clear he would not support the bill in the Senate, pointing to Congressional Budget Office projections of $89.3 trillion in spending and more than $22 trillion in deficits over the next decade. He stressed the need to return to "reasonable pre-pandemic spending levels," using inflation- and population-adjusted baselines from the Clinton, Obama, and Trump years. He warned that current spending far exceeds those benchmarks, and called the current 58-percent increase since 2019 "completely unjustified."

When questioned why only two Republicans in the House — Thomas Massie of Kentucky and Warren Davidson of Ohio — opposed the bill, he took aim at his own party. "We've got a lot of big spenders in our party," Johnson noted, emphasizing the lack of public pressure to control the budget and the political difficulty of fighting "free federal money."

He echoed Senator Rand Paul (R-Ky.) in his criticism of inflated military spending and expressed deep concern over how the federal government reimburses Medicaid expansion — particularly how states and providers have gamed the system. He described these abuses as an example of why Congress needs to do the hard work of line-by-line budget review, something he says is missing in the current process.

On monetary policy, Johnson acknowledged the harmful role of the Federal Reserve in enabling bloated government spending, but pushed back slightly on the need for a Fed audit, stating that much of the information is already public. However, he agreed with Senator Mike Lee (R-Utah) in calling for greater scrutiny and possibly even supporting legislation to abolish the Fed, noting it has "facilitated the grotesque level of fiscal irresponsibility."

Contributors: Gary Benoit • Steve Bonta • Paul Dragu • Christian Gomez

William F. Jasper • John T. Larabell • Alex Newman • Peter Rykowski • Rebecca Terrell • Joe Wolverton II, J.D.

Copyright ©2025 by *The New American*

The conversation also turned to globalist schemes. Johnson reaffirmed his skepticism of the United Nations and its related bodies, calling the climate-change agenda a "hoax" that "has caused us to inflict self-inflicted wounds, driving up the cost of energy." He also expressed support for Senator Mike Lee's DEFUND Act (S. 669), which would terminate U.S. membership in the UN and World Health Organization.

Concluding the interview, Johnson expressed cautious optimism that meaningful changes could still be made, if the White House delivers a serious rescission package and shows genuine leadership in rooting out waste. "This is completely out of control," he warned, "and we have to get serious."

You can watch the full interview on TheNewAmerican.com or check it out on *The New American's* official YouTube, Rumble, Facebook, and X (formerly Twitter) accounts. — Christian Gomez

China: CCP Meltdown Over Trump's "Golden Dome"

Trump's proposed "Golden Dome" national missile shield is predictably upsetting to both China and North Korea, since it would deny them the ability to use nuclear blackmail against the United States. As the insufferably imperious Mao Ning, the Chinese government spokeswoman, put it in a presser:

> "Golden Dome" intends to create an unconstrained, global, multi-layer and multi-domain missile defense system. It plans to expand the U.S. arsenal of means for combat operations in outer space, including R&D and deployment of orbital interception systems. That gives the project a strong offensive nature and violates the principle of peaceful use in the Outer Space Treaty. The project will heighten the risk of turning the space into a war zone and creating a space arms race, and shake the international security and arms control system. This is yet another "America First" initiative that puts the U.S.'s absolute security above all else. It violates the principle of "undiminished security for all" and will hurt global strategic balance and stability. China is gravely concerned.
>
> We urge the U.S. to give up developing and deploying global anti-missile system as soon as possible, and take concrete actions to enhance strategic trust between major countries and uphold global strategic stability.

Trump's "Golden Dome" missile shield is about 40 years too late, but better late than never. Just as Reagan was ridiculed in the 1980s for wanting to defend the American homeland against nuclear attacks, so America's modern-day enemies, both foreign and domestic, are infuriated that President Trump would want to protect American cities and military bases from foreign attacks. *Time* magazine, for example, characterizes the likes of China, North Korea, and Russia as "critics" who "have raised concerns that the effort to create such a shield would raise suspicions and exacerbate a global arms race as well as accelerate the weaponization of space"

The old "missile defense will be destabilizing" argument always was a mockery of reason, but Russia's new willingness to wage war on the likes of Ukraine — while threatening the rest of the world with nuclear weapons — shows that nukes never were stabilizing factors, if the men wielding them are brazen enough. The only defense against such is actual defense. And yes, even with a missile defense system, a few missiles might make it through. But that, by any rational calculus, is better than hundreds. — Steve Bonta

Dead Beloved Cat Exposes China's Litter Box of Lies

The Chinese public is furious over — of all things — the death of a cat. Chinese travel livestreamer Zhao Shuo, a young adventurer who vlogged his cycling trips across remote parts of China, woke up one morning last October in the Altai Mountains in northwestern China to find a kitten outside his tent. He adopted the animal and named it Wukong. The kitten became his traveling companion and beloved internet personality on Douyin, the Chinese version of TikTok. The pair continued traveling around the vast Xinjiang Province, where Zhao's millions of followers enjoyed his positive coverage of a region that has gotten a lot of negative publicity for the treatment of its Uyghur ethnic majority.

One day in April, however, a tearful Zhao announced to his followers that Wukong had died unexpectedly. The cat had disappeared while Zhao was visiting a museum, and he found its lifeless body almost two miles away soon thereafter, thanks to a GPS tracking collar. The official version, courtesy of the local police, was that the cat had been struck by a car.

But the story turned out to be much darker. Zhao had the cat's body examined, where it was determined that there was no evidence of physical trauma that might result from a vehicle or from a fall from a height. Instead, the evidence seemed to suggest that the animal had been poisoned. China, it turns out, has a number of "dark web" groups that specialize in killing animals such as cats for sheer sadistic pleasure, and some such groups put bounties on celebrity animals online. Zhao's large online following was outraged at what had happened, and began speculating about what had really happened to Wukong. Then one day in May, Zhao suddenly appeared online and apologized to the people of Xinjiang and to local authorities for his investigation, stating that he accepted that his cat had died from "eating a small rodent." A few days later, his odd apology video was deleted, and his account was made private. Zhao has, in effect, been deplatformed for encouraging a narrative that might embarrass China's sacrosanct communist authorities or in any way paint China in a negative light.

The episode might seem trivial to foreign observers, but it has touched a very raw nerve with a Chinese public almost as obsessed with furry pets as Americans are. The notion that a kitten might have been deliberately killed



by nihilistic netizens, and the crime then covered up by a government more concerned with curating its image than with investigating and punishing wrongdoing, is just the latest in what has become a drearily predictable pattern in Xi's China: quash any information that might paint China, the Communist Party, or any government authorities in a negative light. This, incidentally, is called "telling China's story well," and it has been a major agenda item since Xi Jinping came to power in 2012. It is the reason, for example, why many foreigners blogging about travel in China have been attacked for showing any hint of poverty, crime, or other negative information.

The Wukong incident will probably be soon forgotten. But it is illustrative of the cynical lengths to which Chinese officials will go to ensure that no matter what, Communist China smells like a rose, and not like a litter box. — Steve Bonta

Trump: "What the Hell Happened to Putin?" Answer: He's Communist, KGB

President Trump has received a jolting epiphany regarding Vladimir Putin. He now says, "I don't know what the hell happened to Putin." No, we're not suggesting that he has been "Putin's man," as the Never-Trumper, "Russia, Russia, Russia" Deep State shills have been screaming for the past decade. However, due to his hyper-confidence in his own negotiating skills, he has assumed that he could successfully employ the same "Art of the Deal" strategies and tactics with Xi Jinping and Putin that have worked for him with business rivals. Granted, his projection of strength and his carrot-and-stick approaches to Beijing and Moscow have been far better than the disastrous policies of the Bush, Obama, and Biden administrations. But he didn't seem to realize he was dealing with hardcore communists for whom any

THE ONYX COLLECTION
Showers & Lavatories
Custom Made in Kansas, USA Since 1985
800-669-9867 • www.onyxcollection.com
MADE IN THE USA

agreement is merely a false pledge, a deceptive chess move, that aims, ultimately, at advancing the war for communist global conquest.

While leaving his Bedminster golf club to return to Washington on May 24, Trump was asked to provide an update on the war in Ukraine.

"Yeah, I'll give you an update. I'm not happy with what Putin's doing," Trump told reporters. "He's killing a lot of people." He then seemed completely nonplussed by Putin's latest attack on Ukraine. "I don't know what the hell happened to Putin. I've known him a long time. Always gotten along with him. But he's sending rockets into cities and killing people."

"We're in the middle of talking and he's shooting rockets into Kyiv and other cities," Trump said, referring to his telephone conversations with Putin. "I don't like it at all.... I don't know what's wrong with him." Moreover, Trump said he was "absolutely" now considering more sanctions on Russia due to the attacks.

Trump followed this up with a post on Truth Social declaring: "Something has happened to him. He has gone absolutely CRAZY!"

There are (at least) three responses to these Trump-Putin developments we would like to make here. First, contrary to beliefs and hopes of many conservatives, Vladimir Putin is not converting the former Soviet Union into a "Christian Russia," nor has he abandoned the communist vision and ideology in which he was marinated throughout his childhood, youth, and KGB career. Despite surface appearances and claims of fundamental change, close scrutiny shows that Putin is remaining true to his Stalinist/KGB roots, and the Putin regime (which we have been chronicling for 25 years) is a continuation of the "collapsed" Soviet state. (See, for example, here, here, here, here, here, and here.) Trump (and his advisors), while not being in Putin's pocket as his critics claim, nevertheless do not seem to fully appreciate the levels of deception with which they are dealing.

Second, as we have pointed out many times previously, Trump has inherited the Russia-Ukraine crisis from the same globalist critics who had been singing Putin's praises and assisting Putin's regime for nearly a decade and a half, right up until he invaded the Crimean Peninsula in 2014. Yes, the Deep State agents of the Council on Foreign Relations, Brookings Institution, Carnegie Endowment, *et al.*, set up the current crisis on both the Russian and Ukraine/NATO side, and they do not want to see this chaos cauldron wind down. They intend to keep stoking it. Recall that it was Obama's Secretary of State Hillary Clinton (all smiles and giggles) who famously gave Russian Foreign Minister Sergey Lavrov the red "Reset Button," (see video here), gave Putin the Uranium One deal (see here and here), and helped Putin build Skolkovo, Russia's high-tech version of Silicon Valley (see here and here). The Obama/Clinton/Deep State conspiratorial handprints are also all over the political upheaval and turmoil that has rocked Ukraine (for background see here, here, and here).

Third, while Putin is no angel in the current escalation of the war (or in previous actions), his recent drone attacks were an inevitable retaliation, given the massive drone attacks from Kiev against Russia in the preceding days, as Paul Dragu reports ("Russia Claims Trump Is Being Misled by Warmongers"). How was the Zelensky regime able to launch such a strike without Western assistance? Clearly, the globalists are egging on Europe's NATO nations to keep the war going and are doing everything within their power to drag America into another "forever war," but one that has the potential for going nuclear. — William F. Jasper

KKR Spokesman Petraeus to the Globalist's Rescue in Ukraine

Deep Stater and retired U.S. Army General David Petraeus was in England on May 29 to serve as a key panelist for a webinar on war sponsored by the British think tank Policy Exchange. General Petraeus, with his stature as a military commander in Iraq and Afghanistan and former head of the CIA, was there to offer "expert opinion" in favor of more NATO and U.S. support for Ukraine in its ongoing struggle with Russia. Speaking of Putin and his government, Petraeus said, "They still want to control not just all four of these provinces and Crimea. They want to topple Volodymr Zelensky and replace him with a Russian puppet so they control all of Ukraine." Further, he warned, "Once that's done, you're going to see them start to focus toward, I'd say, one of the Baltic States — Lithuania has featured prominently in his speeches — and we should be listening."

"There's still a long way to go," Petraeus says. "We should have done so much for the Ukrainians that they could change the dynamic on the battlefield to one that shows Moscow they cannot achieve additional gains at acceptable cost."

Petraeus has been beating the Russia-Ukraine war drums for several years (see here, here, here, and here), providing rosy predictions that Ukraine's forces have Russia's army on the ropes.

General Petraeus parlayed his military career into Deep State service as head of the CIA and, currently, into a coveted slot as chairman of the KKR Global Institute. KKR (Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co.) is one of the big private-equity investment companies closely tied to globalist insiders. Like other KKR executives, Petraeus is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations, a member of the Trilateral Commission, a member of the board of directors of the Atlantic Council, and has attended the secret and ultra-exclusive Bilderberg meetings. He is also a regular "expert" appearing on confabs of globalist outfits such as the Aspen Institute, the Belfer Center (Harvard), Brookings Institution, Carnegie Endowment, etc., as well as ubiquitous appearances on CNN, Bloomberg, CBS, NBC, ABC, and the rest of the controlled media menagerie. Petraeus was recently rewarded for this service with his appointment to chair KKR's Middle East operations and set up a dedicated investment team for the region. — William F. Jasper

Cosamin®
for JOINT HEALTH
nutramax
LABORATORIES
CONSUMER CARE, INC.
helps promote joint comfort so you can enjoy life's special moments*
#1 RESEARCHED GLUCOSAMINE/ CHONDROITIN BRAND
Cosamin DS JOINT HEALTH
108
Cosamin ASU JOINT HEALTH
FASTER-ACTING FORMULA
90
SAVE 20%
Enter Code LIBERTY20 for discount.
Visit nutramaxstore.com
THESE STATEMENTS HAVE NOT BEEN EVALUATED BY THE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION. THIS PRODUCT IS NOT INTENDED TO DIAGNOSE, TREAT, CURE, OR PREVENT ANY DISEASE.
Based on U.S. studies published in peer-reviewed journals. The Cosamin® Brand is the most researched glucosamine/chondroitin sulfate brand.

KKR, Petraeus, and Communist China

If General Petraeus and his KKR associates were truly interested in stopping Russia in Ukraine maybe they would not be so enthusiastic about investing in China, which is, after all, Russia's top ally and strategic partner. KKR has been investing in China for years (see here, here, here, and here) while Beijing and Moscow have been inking more and more trade, technology, economic, and military pacts. See our recent *Insider Report* items "Xi 'Honored Guest' of Putin: China-Russia Amp Up Alliance" and "Anatoliy Golitsyn, Robert Welch Vindicated: Sino-Soviet Split Was a Ruse."
— William F. Jasper

Milei Strikes Again: Argentina Withdraws From WHO

"President Javier Milei's government has ratified its decision to withdraw Argentina from the World Health Organization (WHO) and reaffirmed its collaboration with Washington," the *Buenos Aires Times* reported on May 27. "The decision to pull out of the WHO was initially announced in February by Argentina's President Javier Milei, following in the footsteps of his US counterpart Donald Trump who had said in January the United States would withdraw," the *Times* report continued. "Milei's government again justified its departure from the UN agency in a statement Monday, issued during the visit to Buenos Aires of US Health Secretary Robert F. Kennedy Jr.. 'The WHO's prescriptions do not work because they are not based on science but on political interests and bureaucratic structures that refuse to review their own mistakes,' the statement said."

A major reason for growing resistance to WHO, the health dictatorship arm of the United Nations, is the WHO Pandemic Agreement, which was adopted by WHO member states on May 20. A WHO press release stated:

> Member States of the World Health Organization (WHO) today formally adopted by consensus the world's first Pandemic Agreement. The landmark decision by the 78th World Health Assembly culminates more than three years of intensive negotiations launched by governments in response to the devastating impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic, and driven by the goal of making the world safer from — and more equitable in response to — future pandemics.
>
> "The world is safer today thanks to the leadership, collaboration and commitment of our Member States to adopt the historic WHO Pandemic Agreement," said Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General. "The Agreement is a victory for public health, science and multilateral action. It will ensure we, collectively, can better protect the world from future pandemic threats. It is also a recognition by the international community that our citizens, societies and economies must not be left vulnerable to again suffer losses like those endured during COVID-19."

The New American
TOP DAILY HEADLINES
YOUR RED-PILLED INTELLIGENCE SOURCE!
STRAIGHT FROM OUR PAGES TO YOUR INBOX
Follow us on Social Media

The tyrannical potential of this vaunted WHO "Agreement" is impossible to exaggerate. When (not if) the next *plandemic* strikes, the WHO bureaucrats intend to be empowered to mandate *enforceable* dictates worldwide, including lockdowns, quarantines, tracking, censorship, arrest and prosecution of those providing "disinformation," etc. We have covered this extensively in *The New American* (see here, here, here, here, and here). With communist terrorist Dr. Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus at the helm, serving his communist masters in Beijing and globalist masters in Davos, what could possibly go wrong? — William F. Jasper

WHO Pandemic Treaty and the "Masterplan for Humanity" of the UN's Agenda 2030

The WHO Pandemic Agreement is only the beginning of the planned UN Global Health Dictatorship. Virtually every day, the WHO bureaucrats and "representatives" operating out of their luxurious Geneva headquarters arrogate to themselves authority over another area of our lives. These titles from the "Daily Update" from the WHO press office on May 26, 2025 provide some indication of the scope of power these megalomaniacs have in mind: "Member States commit to accelerate action on health and care workforce," "Countries overwhelmingly agree on a new voluntary target for air pollution and health," "Countries commit to regulate the digital marketing of formula milk and baby foods," "Countries approve a landmark resolution for a lead-free future," "Member States agree on a new global traditional medicine strategy for 2025–2034," "World Prematurity Day approved as a mandated global health campaign," "Member States agree on actions addressing the health impacts of nuclear war," etc.

Sprinkled throughout the WHO documents and dialogues are copious references to Agenda 2030, the UN's "Masterplan for Humanity," on which we have reported extensively (here, here, here). And, yes, President of the UN General Assembly Peter Thomson did indeed call Agenda 2030 (or "the 2030 Agenda," as it is more commonly called in UN circles) "a masterplan for humanity." See his official UN statement here. And WHO has made clear that it intends to be a key master partner in that master plan. Here's how WHO views the 2030 Agenda:

> The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) are 17 goals with 169 targets that all 191 UN Member States have agreed to try to achieve by the year 2030. Health has a central place in SDG 3: Ensure healthy lives and promoting well-being for all at all ages, underpinned by 13 targets that cover a wide spectrum of WHO's work. Almost all of the other 16 goals are directly related to health or will contribute to health indirectly. The new agenda, which builds on the Millennium Development Goals, aims to be relevant to all countries and focuses on improving equity to meet the needs of women, children and the poorest, most disadvantaged people.

The WHO's "Immunization Agenda 2030: A Global Strategy to Leave No One Behind" tells us they have a "vision" for a perpetual, global jabathon for all of humanity.

> The COVID-19 pandemic has reminded the world of the power of vaccines to fight disease, save lives, and create a healthier, safer, and more prosperous future. Moving forward, strong immunization systems will be needed to ensure that people everywhere are protected against COVID-19 and other diseases.
>
> The World Health Assembly, with the support of countries and partners, has endorsed a new global vision and strategy, called the Immunization Agenda 2030 (IA2030), to address these challenges over the next decade and save over 50 million lives.
>
> IA2030 envisions a world where everyone, everywhere, at every age, fully benefits from vaccines to improve health and well-being. It aims to maintain hard-won gains in immunization, recover from the disruptions caused by COVID-19, and achieve even more — by leaving no one behind, in any situation or at any stage of life.

Since, according to the WHO, all of the Agenda 2030 goals "are directly related to health or will contribute to health indirectly," it is no surprise that one would find hundreds of references and reverential bows to Agenda 2030 in WHO documents and agreements. After all, a master plan requires master planners — and *masters*!
— William F. Jasper

[END]